

From: [Bo Caudill](#)
To: [Valecia McDowell](#); [Fielding Huseh](#); [Raquel Macgregor Pearkes](#); rhagemann@poynerspruill.com; tbright@poynerspruill.com; [Sophia Pappalardo](#); [Mujeeb Shah-Khan](#)
Subject: James Amotion Proceedings; Bias Issue
Date: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 5:37:41 PM
Attachments: [image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)

Ms. McDowell,

Ms. James does not want to incur the cost of an evidentiary hearing concerning bias and so respectfully declines the opportunity to question the Councilmembers who voted in favor of the censure.

Ms. James respectfully objects to the conclusion that she has produced insufficient evidence of bias to warrant a recommendation that the Councilmembers who voted in favor of the censure be excused from voting on removal by amotion and to the decision not to make such recommendation. Ms. James asks that this email noting those objections be included as part of the record of these proceedings.

Please let me know if you have any questions or require anything further from Ms. James with respect to this issue.

Sincerely,



BO CAUDILL
Attorney
P: (704) 216-8255
E: bocaudill@villmercaudill.com
M: P.O. Box 18186, Charlotte, NC 28218



VILLMER CAUDILL
BUSINESS & LITIGATION ATTORNEYS

[Schedule a Meeting](#)

DISCLAIMER: The information transmitted by this email is intended only for the person to which it is addressed. This email may contain proprietary, business-confidential and/or privileged material. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, be aware that any use, review, retransmission, distribution, reproduction, or any action taken in reliance upon this message is strictly prohibited. If you received this email in error, please contact the sender and delete the email and the materials sent.